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#### Abstract

Let $W_{x}(x):=\exp \left(-|x|^{x}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}, x>0$. For $\alpha \leqslant 1$, we obtain upper and lower bounds for the Christoffel functions for the weight $W_{x}^{2}$ over the whole Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff interval, and deduce inequalities for spacing of zeros of orthogonal polynomials for $W_{x}^{2}$. Then we deduce bounds for orthogonal polynomials for the weight $W_{x}^{2}$. These results complement recent results of the authors treating a large class of weights including $W_{x}^{2}, x>1$. 1995 Academic Press. Inc.


## 1. Introduction and Results

Let $W^{2}:=e^{-2 Q}$, where $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even, continuous, and of "smooth polynomial growth" at infinity. Such a weight is often called a Freud weight [19], and perhaps the archetypal example is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\alpha}(x):=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to the weight $W^{2}$, we can define orthonormal polynomials

$$
p_{n}(x):=p_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)=\gamma_{n} x^{n}+\cdots, \quad \gamma_{n}>0, n \geqslant 0
$$

satisfying

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} p_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) p_{m}\left(W^{2}, x\right) W^{2}(x) d x=\delta_{m n}, \quad m, n \geqslant 0 .
$$

[^0]Recently, the authors [10] established bounds for $p_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)$ for a class of Freud weights that includes $W_{x}^{2}, \alpha>1$. The purpose of this paper is to establish complementary results for the case $\alpha \leqslant 1$. Our methods are similar to those in [10], but additional technical difficulties arise. Consequently, we have decided to restrict ourselves to the weights $W_{x}^{2}$, though the methods can treat more general Freud weights.

Here, as in [10], estimates for the Christoffel function play a crucial role. Recall that if $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ denotes the class of polynomials of degree $\leqslant n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right): & =\inf _{P \in: R_{-1}} \int_{-x}^{x}(P W)^{2}(t) d t / P^{2}(x)  \tag{1.2}\\
& =1 / \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{j}^{2}\left(W^{2}, x\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

See [19] for a survey of the importance of Christoffel functions.
To state our results, we need the Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff number $a_{u}$ $[16,17]$, the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) d t / \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, \quad u>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the weight $W_{x}(x)$, we have $Q(x)=|x|^{x}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}\left(W_{x}\right)=\left(n / \lambda_{x}\right)^{1 / x}, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}=\Gamma(\alpha) /\left[2^{\alpha}{ }^{2} \Gamma(\alpha / 2)^{2}\right] . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive constants independent of $n, x$, and $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$. We use $\sim$ in the following sense: If $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequences of non-zero real numbers, we write

$$
b_{n} \sim c_{n}
$$

if there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
C_{1} \leqslant b_{n} / c_{n} \leqslant C_{2}, \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Similar notation is used for functions and sequences of functions.
Given $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$, and $n \geqslant 1$, we define a function $A_{n}(x):=A_{n}(\alpha, x)$ as follows: For $|x| \leqslant a_{n} / 2$, set

$$
A_{n}(x):= \begin{cases}(1+|x|)^{1-x}, & \alpha<1  \tag{1.7}\\ 1 / \log [\pi n /(1+|x|)], & \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

and for $|x| \geqslant a_{n} / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x):=n^{1 / \alpha-1} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-|x| / a_{n}\right\}^{-1 / 2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the breakpoint $a_{n} / 2$ is just for definiteness: We could have used $\sigma a_{n}$ for any $0<\sigma<1$, as our breakpoint, since the ratio of the righthand sides of $(1.7),(1.8) \sim 1$ in $\left[\delta a_{n}, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]$ for any fixed $0<\delta<\varepsilon<1$.

Following is our result for Christoffel functions:

Theorem 1.1. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $L>0$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) \sim A_{n}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $C>0$ such that for $n \geqslant 1$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) \geqslant C A_{n}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. (a) The lower bound (1.10) was proved in [10]. We use the method of [10] to prove the upper bound implicit in (1.9) for $|x| \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)\right]$, any $0<\varepsilon<1$, but that method breaks down for $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$. To prove the upper bounds for $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, we use the method that Freud, Giroux, and Rahman employed for $\alpha=1$ in [7]: They established (1.9) for $\alpha=1$ and the range $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, some $\varepsilon>0$.
(b) It is a well known consequence $[2,5,20]$ of the indeterminacy of the moment problem for $\alpha<1$ that $\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$ does not decay to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, or equivalently

$$
K_{x}(x):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{j}^{2}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right)<\infty
$$

In fact, Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{x}(x) W_{\alpha}^{2}(x) \sim(1+|x|)^{x-1}, \quad \text { uniformly for } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order and type of the entire function $K_{x}(x)$ have been investigated by various authors; see, for example, [2,3].

We can deduce results on the zeros of the orthonormal polynomial $p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$, which we order as

$$
-\infty<x_{n n}<x_{n-1 . n}<\cdots<x_{2 n}<x_{1 n}<\infty
$$

Corollary 1.2. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$. Then there exists $C_{1}$ such that
(a) For $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{1 n}\right| a_{n}-1 \mid \leqslant C_{1} n^{-2 / 3} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Uniformly for $n \geqslant 2$ and $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j-1, n}-x_{j+1, n} \sim A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. (a) For $\alpha$ a positive even integer, sharper asymptotics are known for $x_{1 n}$ [14].
(b) We can probably deduce a similar result for $x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}$ with additional work; see [4].

Corollary 1.3. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $j$ such that $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{1 / \alpha-1} & \left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x_{j n}\right)\right| W_{\alpha}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& \sim\left|p_{n-1}\left(W^{2}, x_{j n}\right)\right| W\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& \sim n^{1 /(2 \alpha)} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\left|x_{j n}\right| / a_{n}\right\}^{1 / 4} . \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The reason for our restriction $|x| \geqslant \varepsilon x_{n}$ is that we cannot obtain correct upper bounds for a certain function $A_{n}(x)$ for $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$; see Section 6.

Corollary 1.4. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $|x| \in$ $\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right)\right| W_{\alpha}(x) \leqslant C n^{1 /(2 x)} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-|x| / a_{n}\right\}^{-1 / 4} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. (a) Again, the restrictions on the range of $x$ in (1.15) arise from our inability to investigate the behaviour of a certain function. Using the asymptotics in [11, pp. 187, 209] for weights that are the reciprocals of an entire function, and Korous type identities, we can obtain "correct" upper bounds for $p_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right)$ for $|x| \geqslant a_{n} n^{-1 / 3+\delta}$, any $\delta>0$. However, this involves substantial effort, and does not provide bounds for the complete range, so is omitted.
(b) E. A. Rahmanov [22] has informed the authors that he believes asymptotics can be proved for $p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$ in $\left[-\sigma a_{n}, \sigma a_{n}\right]$, any fixed $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Such asymptotics will imply

$$
\left\|p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, \cdot\right) W_{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{x}\left[-\sigma a_{n}, \sigma a_{n}\right]} \leqslant C a_{n}^{1 / 2}, \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Together with Corollary 1.4, the methods in Section 6 or in [10] will give

$$
\left\|p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) W_{\alpha}(x)\left|1-|x| / a_{n}\right|^{1 / 4}\right\|_{L_{n},(\mathbb{P})} \sim a_{n}^{-1 / 2}, \quad n \geqslant 1,
$$

and

$$
\left\|p_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, \cdot\right) W_{x}(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{R})} \sim a_{n}^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 6}, \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

At least for $\alpha=1$, we can prove this:
Corollary 1.5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n}\left(W_{1}^{2}, \cdot\right) W_{1}\right\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{R})} \sim n^{1 / 2+1 / 6}, \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, we discretise a potential, and hence estimate a certain $L_{\alpha}$ Christoffel function. In Section 3, we obtain upper bounds for $i_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right)$ for the range $|x| \in$ $\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)\right]$ and in Section 4, we obtain upper bounds for the range $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 , we prove Corollary 1.2 on the zeros of $p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$, and in Section 6, we prove Corollaries 1.3-1.5.

## 2. The sup-norm Christoffel Functions

Given a weight $W: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n, x}(W, x):=\inf _{P \in \neq \uplus_{n}-1}\|P W\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{R},}|P|(x), \quad n \geqslant 1, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the sup-norm Christoffel function for $W$. In this section, we obtain the following result, which will be applied in the next section to derive upper bounds for ordinary Christoffel functions:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha>0$ and $L>0$. For $n \geqslant 1$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{n}:=\left\{x:|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}=a_{n}\left(W_{x}\right)$ is defined by (1.5) and (1.6). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n, x}\left(W_{x}, x\right) \sim W_{x}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $x \in \mathscr{I}_{n}$ and $n \geqslant 1$.
Note that for $\alpha>1$, Theorem 2.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.6 in [10], so we concentrate on the case $\alpha \leqslant 1$. Obviously $\lambda_{n, x}\left(W_{x}, x\right) \geqslant$
$W_{x}(x)$. Thus it suffices to construct, for any $x_{0} \in \mathscr{J}_{n}$, a polynomial $S_{n}=S_{n, x_{0}} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} W_{x}\right\|_{L_{-x}(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant C_{1}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(S_{n} W_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant C_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ depend on $\alpha, L$, but not on $n$ or $x_{0} \in J_{n}$ (We may use $S_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ instead of $S_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n-1}$, since $a_{n-1} / a_{n}=1+O\left(n^{1}\right)$, by (1.5).)

First let us reformulate our task. We need some potential theory related to $W_{\alpha}$ :

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha>0$.
(a) Define for $x \in[-1,1] \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x):=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \alpha \lambda_{x}^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}} \frac{s^{x}-|x|^{x}}{s^{2}-x^{2}} d s \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x)>0 \quad \text { in } \quad[-1,1] \backslash 0\} \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{-1}^{1} \mu(t) d t=1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Define for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(z):=\int_{1}^{1} \log |z-t| \mu(t) d t-\lambda_{x}^{-1}|z|^{x}+\chi_{x} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\chi_{\alpha}:=\frac{2}{\pi} \lambda_{\alpha}^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t+\log 2
$$

Then for $x \in[-1,1]$,

$$
U(x)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(-n \int_{-1}^{t} \log |x-t| \mu(t) d t\right)=W_{x}\left(a_{n} x\right) \exp \left(n \chi_{x}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x) \leqslant 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n U(x)| \leqslant C, \quad x \in \mathscr{Z}_{n}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{I}_{n}$ is defined by (2.2) and $C=C(L)$.
Proof. These statements are well known and appear (in various forms) in [12, 16, 21]. For our purposes, a convenient reference is Lemma 7.1 in [10], applied in the special case $Q(x):=|x|^{\alpha}$ and $R=a_{n}=\left(n / \lambda_{x}\right)^{1 / \alpha}$. (Note that there is a missing minus sign in the exponential term in (7.11) in [10]).

Assume now that for any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a polynomial $P_{n}=P_{n, x_{0}} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{1} \exp \left\{n \int_{-1}^{1} \log |x-t| \mu(t) d t\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}\left(x_{0} / a_{n}\right)\right| \geqslant C_{2} \exp \left\{n \int_{--1}^{1} \log \left|x_{0} / a_{n}-t\right| \mu(t) d t\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants independent of $n$ and $x_{0}$. Then, on setting

$$
S_{n}(x):=P_{n}\left(x / a_{n}\right) \exp \left(n \chi_{x}\right),
$$

we deduce (by (2.8) to (2.12)) that these $S_{n}$ satisfy (2.4) and (2.5).
Therefore, in order to prove Theorem 2.1, it remains to construct $P_{n}$ as above. Such a construction was carried out in our paper [10, Theorem 9.1], for a large class of weights that includes $W_{x}, \alpha>1$. For $\alpha \leqslant 1$, the same method applies, but the details become more cumbersome. Here we use another method that is due to V . Totik $[13,24]$ as it simplifies the estimation.

Theorem 2.3. Let $d \sigma$ be a positive Borel measure on $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \sigma=1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\sigma}(z):=\int \log |z-t| d \sigma(t) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the corresponding potential. Define $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=b$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i_{j}} d_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{n}, \quad I_{j}:=\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right], 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:
(a) Uniformly for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{j}\right| \sim\left|I_{j+1}\right| \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|I_{j}\right|:=t_{j+1}-t_{j}$.
(b) There exists $C_{1}>0$ such that uniformly for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1, x \in I_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \int_{I_{t}} \log \left(\frac{|x-t|}{\left|I_{j}\right|}\right) d \sigma(t) \geqslant-C_{1} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) There exists $C_{2}>0$ such that uniformly for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \leqslant k-2} \frac{\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left|t_{j+1}-t_{k}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{j \geqslant k+2} \frac{\left|I_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left|t_{j}-t_{k+1}\right|^{2}} \leqslant C_{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, given any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, one can find a polynomial $P_{n}=P_{n, x_{0}} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{3} \exp \left(n U^{\sigma}(x)\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{3} \exp \left(n U^{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C_{3}$ in (2.21) depends only on the constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ in (2.19), (2.20) and on the constants implicit in the $\sim$ relation (2.18).

Proof. Given $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, we construct $P_{n}$ as follows:
Case I. $\quad x_{0} \notin[a, b]$ or $x_{0}=t_{j}$ for some $0 \leqslant j<n$. Then define $\xi_{j} \in I_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L_{j}}\left(t-\xi_{j}\right) d \sigma(t)=0, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case II. $t_{j_{0}}<x_{0}<t_{j 0+1}$ for some $0 \leqslant j_{0} \leqslant n-1$. Then define $\xi_{j}$ by (2.23), if $j \neq j_{0}$. As to $\xi_{j_{0}}$, this can be chosen arbitrarily in $I_{j_{0}}$, subject to the restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{0}-\xi_{j_{0}}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{3}\left|I_{j_{0}}\right| \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above choice of $\xi_{j}$ 's, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x):=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(x-\xi_{j}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that this $P_{n}$ satisfies (2.21) and (2.22). The proof of (2.22) is particularly simple. We have by (2.17) and (2.24),

$$
\begin{align*}
n U^{\sigma}(x)-\log \left|P_{n}(x)\right| & =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n \int_{L_{j}} \log \left|\frac{x-t}{x-\xi_{j}}\right| d \sigma(t) \\
& =: \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} L_{j}(x) \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $\log \left|x_{0}-t\right|$ is concave as a function of $t$ on $I_{j}$, provided $x_{0} \notin\left(t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$. Thus

$$
\log \left|x_{0}-t\right| \leqslant \log \left|x_{0}-\xi_{j}\right|+\frac{t-\xi_{j}}{\xi_{j}-x_{0}}, \quad t \in I_{j}
$$

Therefore the choice (2.23) of $\xi_{j}$ ensures that $L_{j}\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$. If $x_{0} \in\left(t_{j_{0}}, t_{j_{0}+1}\right)$, we have by (2.24),

$$
\left|\frac{x_{0}-t}{x_{0}-\xi_{j}}\right| \leqslant 3, \quad t \in I_{i_{0}},
$$

so that $L_{j 0}(x) \leqslant \log 3$. This proves (2.22).
To prove (2.21), we need to show (see (2.26)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n \cdots 1} L_{j}(x) \geqslant-C, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left-hand side of (2.26) represents a function that is harmonic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash[a, b]$, it suffices to establish $(2.27)$ for $x \in[a, b]$. So, let $x \in I_{j}$, for some $0 \leqslant j^{*} \leqslant n-1$. First, note that the condition (2.19) implies that every single term in (2.27) is bounded below by $-C_{1}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(x) \geqslant-C_{1}, j=j^{*} ; \quad j^{*} \pm 1 ; j_{0} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for $j \neq j^{*}, j^{*} \pm 1$, we obtain from condition (2.18) that

$$
\frac{\xi_{j}-t}{x-\xi_{j}} \geqslant-\beta>-1, \quad t \in I_{j}
$$

with some $0<\beta<1$, independent of $x \in I_{j *}$ and of $j, n$. Therefore, we may write for $t \in I_{j}$,

$$
\log \left|\frac{x-t}{x-\xi_{j}}\right|=\log \left|1+\frac{\xi_{j}-t}{x-\xi_{j}}\right| \geqslant \frac{\xi_{j}-t}{x-\xi_{j}}-\frac{1}{2(1-\beta)^{2}}\left(\frac{\xi_{j}-t}{x-\xi_{j}}\right)^{2}
$$

If $j$ is also different from $j_{0}$, we obtain by (2.23) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{j}(x) & \geqslant-\frac{n}{2(1-\beta)^{2}} \int_{L_{j}}\left(\frac{\xi_{j}-t}{x-\xi_{j}}\right)^{2} d \sigma(t) \\
& \geqslant-\frac{n}{2(1-\beta)^{2}} \int_{L_{j}}\left(\frac{\left|I_{j}\right|}{x-\xi_{j}}\right)^{2} d \sigma(t) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2(1-\beta)^{2}}\left(\frac{\left|I_{j}\right|}{x-\xi_{j}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $j \neq j^{*} ; j^{*} \pm 1 ; j_{0}$. Thus (recall (2.28)), in order to prove (2.27), it remains to show that

$$
\sum_{j \neq j *: j^{*} \pm 1 ; j_{0}}\left(\frac{\left|I_{j}\right|}{x-\xi_{j}}\right)^{2} \leqslant C .
$$

Since $x \in I_{j}, \xi_{j} \in I_{j}$, this inequality follows from condition (2.20).
Theorem 2.3 gives us the desired relations (2.13), (2.14) provided we can show that the measure $d \sigma(t)=\mu(t) d t$ satisfies conditions (a)-(c) of Theorem 2.3. From (2.6), we easily deduce that
(i) For $\alpha>1$,

$$
\mu(t) \sim \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, \quad|t|<1
$$

(ii) For $\alpha=1$,

$$
\mu(t) \sim \begin{cases}\sqrt{1-t^{2}}, & \frac{1}{4} \leqslant|t|<1 \\ \log 1 /|t|, & 0<|t| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

(iii) For $0<x<1$,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mu(t) \sim \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, & \frac{1}{4} \leqslant|t|<1 \\
\mu(t) \sim|t|^{x-1}, & 0<|t|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.30}
\end{array}
$$

We shall only consider the case (iii) and provide the reader a few details. Note that $\mu$ is an even function. Assume, for definiteness, that $n$ is even. Then $t_{n / 2}=0$ and (2.30) yields

$$
\frac{j-n / 2}{n}=\int_{0}^{t_{j}} \mu \sim t_{j}^{\alpha}
$$

provided

$$
j \in J_{1}:=\left\{j: j>n / 2 \text { and } 0<t_{j} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

Therefore, uniformly for $j, j+\mathrm{I} \in J_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j+1} / t_{j} \sim 1 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation combined with

$$
C_{1}\left|I_{j}\right| t_{j+1}^{x-1} \leqslant \int_{I_{j}} \mu=\frac{1}{n} \leqslant C_{2}\left|I_{j}\right| t_{j}^{\alpha-1}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
n t_{j}^{x-1}\left|I_{j}\right| \sim 1, j \in J_{1} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore (by (2.31)),

$$
\left|I_{j}\right| \sim\left|I_{j+1}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad j, j+1 \in J_{1}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{n / 2}\right| \sim\left|I_{n / 2+1}\right| \sim n^{-1 / x} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the condition (2.18) holds for $j \in J_{1} \cup\{n / 2\}$. Similar reasoning (based on (2.29)) shows that uniformly for $j, j+1 \in J_{2}:=\left\{j: j>n / 2,1>t_{j} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-t_{j+1}^{2}}{1-t_{j}^{2}} \sim 1 ; \quad n \sqrt{1-t_{j}^{2}}\left|I_{j}\right| \sim 1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{n-1}\right| \sim\left|I_{n-2}\right| \sim n^{-2 / 3} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (2.18) holds for $j \in J_{2}$. Since $J_{1}, J_{2}$ overlap and since $\mu$ is even, we have verified (2.18). Next, we turn to (2.19). For $j=n / 2, x \in I_{n_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \int_{I_{n / 2}} & =n \int_{0}^{\left|I_{n, 2}\right|} \log \left|\frac{x-t}{\left|I_{n / 2}\right|}\right| \mu(t) d t \\
& \geqslant C n \int_{0}^{\left|I_{n 2}\right|} \log \left|\frac{x-t}{\left|I_{n / 2}\right|}\right| t^{x-1} d t \quad \text { (since the integrand is negative) } \\
& =C n\left|I_{n / 2}\right|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \log |y-s| s^{x-1} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

by the substitution $x=y\left|I_{n / 2}\right|(0<y<1), t=s\left|I_{n / 2}\right|$. The last integral is uniformly bounded for $0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1$. Taking into account $(2.33)$, we have shown that (2.19) holds for $j=n / 2$. For $j \in J_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \int_{t_{j}} \log \left|\frac{x-t}{\left|I_{j}\right|}\right| \mu(t) d t & \geqslant C n t_{j}^{\alpha-1} \int_{t_{j}} \log \left|\frac{x-t}{\left|I_{j}\right|}\right| d t \\
& \geqslant C n t_{j}^{\alpha}{ }^{1}\left|I_{j}\right| \int_{-1}^{1} \log |s| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

by the substitution $x-t=s\left|I_{j}\right|$. Applying (2.32), we obtain (2.19) uniformly for $j \in J_{1}$. The case $j \in J_{2}$ is treated similarly, by using (2.34) and (2.35).

Finally, we prove (2.20). This is equivalent (in view of (2.31), (2.32), (2.34), (2.35)) to

$$
\frac{1}{n} \int_{\mid t} \frac{d t}{t_{k}\left|\geqslant\left|I_{k}\right|\right.}\left(t-t_{k}\right)^{2} \mu(t) \quad \leqslant C_{2}
$$

Assume, for example, that $k=n / 2$. Then the last integral is bounded from above by

$$
\frac{1}{n} \int_{C n-1 / x}^{1 / 2} \frac{d t}{t^{2} \cdot t^{\alpha-1}}+\frac{1}{n} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{d t}{t^{2} \sqrt{1-t^{2}}}=O(1)
$$

Similarly, using (2.32)-(2.35), we obtain (2.20) uniformly in $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Upper Bounds for Christoffel Functions, I

In this section, we obtain upper bounds for the Christoffel function $\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$, for $|x| \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)\right]$, for any fixed $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and then deduce Theorem 1.1(a) for this range. The proof follows very closely those in [10], but we present the details for the reader's convenience. First, we recall an infinite-finite range inequality from [10]:

Lemma 3.1. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty$ and $K>0$. Then there exist $N, C>0$ such that for $n \geqslant N$ and $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P W_{x}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant C\left\|P W_{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|x| \leqslant \omega_{n}(1-K n-2 ; 3)\right]} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 1.8 in [10].

Proof of Theorem 1.1(a) for the range $|x| \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+\operatorname{Ln}{ }^{-2 / 3}\right)\right]$. First, note that by Lemma 3.1, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|P W_{x}\right\|_{L_{2}(x)} \leqslant C\left\|P W_{x}\right\|_{L_{2}\left\lceil-u_{n}, a_{n}\right]}, \quad P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, n \geqslant 1
$$

Hence for any $m \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) / W_{x}^{2}(x) \leqslant & C^{2} \inf _{P \in \neq 1} \int_{a_{n}}^{a_{n}}\left(P W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) d t /\left(P W_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(x) \\
\leqslant & C^{2}\left[\inf _{P \in \not \mu_{m-1}}\left\|P W_{x}\right\|_{L_{x} \mid R y} /\left|P W_{\alpha}\right|(x)\right]^{2} \\
& \times \inf _{P \in \neq m, m} \int_{-a_{n}}^{a_{n}} P^{2}(t) d t / P^{2}(x) \\
= & \left.C^{2}\left[\hat{\lambda}_{m, x}\left(W_{\alpha}, x\right) / W_{x}, x\right)\right]^{2} a_{n} \lambda_{n} \quad m+1\left(u, x / a_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u \equiv 1$ is the Legendre weight on $[-1,1]$. By standard estimates for the Christoffel function of the Legendre weight [18, pp. 107-108],

$$
\hat{\lambda}_{l}(u, x) \leqslant \frac{C}{l} \max \left\{1-|x|, l^{+2}\right\}^{+1 / 2}, \quad x \in[-1,1] .
$$

Since

$$
\lambda_{l}(u, x)=1 / \sum_{j=0}^{1} p_{j}^{2}(u, x)
$$

is a decreasing function of $x \in(1, \infty)$, the above estimate also holds outside $[-1,1]$. Hence, we obtain for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) / W_{x}^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{1}\left[\lambda_{m, x}\left(W_{x}, x\right) / W_{x}(x)\right]^{2} \\
\quad \times \frac{a_{n}}{n-m} \max \left\{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}, \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We distinguish two ranges of $x$ :
(I) $\varepsilon a_{n} \leqslant|x| \leqslant a_{n 1} \quad 2 n^{23,}$. In this case, we choose an integer $m$ such that

$$
a_{m-1}<|x| \leqslant a_{m}
$$

Then for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C n \leqslant m \leqslant n\left(1-n^{-2 / 3}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ of course depends on $\varepsilon$. Recalling that $a_{n}$ is given by (1.5), we see that

$$
1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}} \sim 1-\frac{a_{m}}{a_{n}} \sim 1-\frac{m}{n}
$$

In particular, together with (3.3), this implies that

$$
\frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}} \leqslant n^{2 / 3} \leqslant 1-\frac{m}{n} \sim 1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}},
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{n}}{n-m} \max \left\{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}, \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n} \frac{1}{1-m / n}\left(1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 / 2} \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This $\sim$ relation, (3.2), and Theorem 2.1 show that for $\varepsilon a_{n} \leqslant|x| \leqslant$ $a_{n(1-2 n-23)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right) / W_{x}^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{2} \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) $a_{n\left(1-2 n^{2 / 3},\right.} \leqslant|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1+\operatorname{Ln}^{2 / 3}\right)$. In this case, we choose

$$
m:=n-\left\langle n^{1 / 3}\right\rangle
$$

where $\langle x\rangle$ denotes the greatest integer $\leqslant x$. Then, we see that

$$
1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}} \leqslant C_{1} n^{-2 / 3} \sim \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{n}}{n-m} \max \left\{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}, \frac{1}{(n-m)^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \leqslant C_{3} \frac{a_{n}}{(n-m)^{2}} \leqslant C_{4} a_{n} n^{-2 / 3} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
|x| / a_{m} \leqslant\left(a_{n} / a_{m}\right)\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right) \leqslant 1+K n^{-2 / 3}
$$

some $K>0$. Then (3.2), (3.5), and Theorem 2.1 show that

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) / W_{\alpha}^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{5} a_{n} n^{-2 / 3}
$$

for $a_{n\left(1-2 n^{-2,3}\right)} \leqslant|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-2 / 3}\right)$. Together with (3.4), this last inequality shows that

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) \leqslant C_{6} \frac{a_{n}}{n} W_{x}^{2}(x)\left(\max \left\{n^{2 / 3}, 1-\frac{|x|}{a_{n}}\right\}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for $\varepsilon a_{n} \leqslant|x| \leqslant a_{n}\left(1+L n^{-3}\right)$. The corresponding lower bound for $\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$ is a special case of Theorem 1.7 in [10].

## 4. Upper Bounds for Christoffel Functions, II

In this section, we obtain upper bounds for $\lambda_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$ using the method of Freud, Giroux, and Rahman [7], for the range $|x| \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, some suitable $\varepsilon>0$, and hence deduce Theorem 1.1 (a) for this range. We note that an alternative derivation of this upper bound may be based on the asymptotics for Christoffel functions given in Theorem I. 3 for [11, p. 185], more specifically (I.15) there. Although this would shorten this section, we follow the method of [7], since this avoids using the "deep" results in [11].

We use the canonical product

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\beta}(z):=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+z / n^{1 / \beta}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0<\beta<1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the asymptotics for $P_{\beta}$ in [1]. It is known [1, p. 497, Thm. 1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log P_{\beta}(z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \beta} z^{\beta}-\frac{1}{2} \log z-\frac{1}{2 \beta} \log (2 \pi)+\mathscr{E}(z) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{E}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in the sector $\{z:|\arg z|<\pi-\delta\}$, for any fixed $\delta \in(0, \pi)$. We shall set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x}:=\left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \alpha / 2}\right)^{-1 / x} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{x}(z) & :=\left(1+\left(\tau_{x} z\right)^{2}\right) P_{x / 2}^{2}\left(\left(\tau_{x} z\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\left(\tau_{x} z\right)^{2}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{x}\left(1+\left(\tau_{x} z / n^{1 / x}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. $H_{x}$ is an even entire function with non-negative Maclaurin series coefficients, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{x}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) \sim 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For large $|x|$, the $\sim$ in (4.5) follows easily from (4.2). For small $|x|$, this follows as $H_{x}$ and $W_{x}^{2}$ are positive and continuous in $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$. Let $S_{m} \in \mathscr{P}_{m}$ denote the mith partial sum of the Maclaurin series of

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z):=P_{x / 2}\left(\left(\tau_{x} z\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(a) $S_{m}$ is an even polynomial with non-negative Maclaurin series coefficients.
(b) Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G(z)-S_{m}(z)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{m}, \quad|z| \leqslant(\delta m)^{1 / \alpha} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{m}(x) / G(x)-1\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad|x| \leqslant(\delta m)^{1 / x} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Let $\sigma \geqslant 1$. There exists $\eta>0$ such that for $m \geqslant 1$, the only zeros of $S_{m}(z)$ inside the rectangle with vertices $\pm \sigma\langle\eta m\rangle^{1 / \alpha} \pm i\langle\eta m\rangle^{1 / \alpha}$ lie on the imaginary axis. These zeros are simple, and have the form $\pm i y_{j} / \tau_{x}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}^{x} \in\left(j-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\langle\eta m\rangle^{1 / x} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) This is immediate.
(b) This is an easy consequence of the contour integral error formula for $G-S_{m}$, and (4.1), (4.2).
(c) We use Rouche's theorem, applied to $G(z)$ and $S_{m}(z)$. To this end, we find suitable lower bounds for $|G(z)|$. Suppose that $l \geqslant 0$ and

$$
\tau_{x} z=x+i\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Note the inequality

$$
\left|1+\zeta^{2}\right|^{2} \geqslant\left|1-(\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^{2}\right|^{2}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Then for $j \geqslant 1$, this inequality yields

$$
\left|1+\left[\frac{\tau_{\alpha} z}{j^{1 / \alpha}}\right]^{2}\right|^{2} \geqslant\left(1-\left[\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{j}\right]^{2 / \alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

So for such $z$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G(z)| & \geqslant\left|G\left(i\left(\frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{\alpha}}\right)\right)\right|=(-1)^{l} G\left(i\left(\frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{\alpha}}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{2 l}\left|1-\left[\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{j}\right]^{2 / \alpha}\right| \prod_{j=2 l+1}^{\infty}\left|1-\left[\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{j}\right]^{2 / \alpha}\right| \\
& =: \Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, as $l \rightarrow \infty$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1} & =\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 l} \log \left|1-\left[\frac{1+1 / 2 l}{j / l}\right]^{2 / x}\right|\right) \\
& =\exp \left(l\left[\int_{0}^{2} \log \left|1-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]^{2 / x}\right| d x+o(1)\right]\right) \\
& \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{1} l\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{2} & =\exp \left(\sum_{j=2 l+1}^{\infty} \log \left|1-\left[\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{j}\right]^{2 / \alpha}\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant \exp \left(-2 \sum_{j=2 l+1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{l+\frac{1}{2}}{j}\right]^{2 / x}\right) \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{2} l\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the inequality

$$
\log (1-x) \geqslant-2 x, \quad x \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right] .
$$

So

$$
|G(z)| \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{3} l\right), \quad z=\frac{x}{\tau_{\alpha}}+\frac{i\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{\alpha}}, x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Moreover, using (4.2), we see that

$$
|G(z)| \geqslant C, \quad z=\sigma \frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{\alpha}}+i \frac{y}{\tau_{x}},|y| \leqslant\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / x} .
$$

Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ denote the rectangular contour with vertices

$$
\pm \sigma \frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{x}} \pm i \frac{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{\tau_{x}} .
$$

We have shown that

$$
|G(z)| \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{3} l\right), \quad z \in \mathscr{R}_{l} .
$$

Let $0<\varepsilon<\exp \left(-C_{3}\right)$ and $\delta$ be as in (b) of this lemma. Choose $\eta>0$ so small that if $l:=\langle\eta m\rangle, \not R_{1} \subset\left\{z:|z| \leqslant(\delta m)^{1 / x}\right\}$. Then by (b) of this lemma, for $z$ inside and on $\mathscr{R}_{1}$,

$$
\left|G(z)-S_{m}(z)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{m}<e^{-C_{3} m}<e^{-C_{3} l}<|G(z)| .
$$

By Rouchés theorem, $S_{m}(z)$ has the same total multiplicity of zeros inside $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ as $G$. Now $G$ has its zeros in $\mathscr{R}_{1}$, all simple, precisely, at $\pm i j^{1 / \alpha} / \tau_{\alpha}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant l$. We showed above that

$$
(-1)^{j} G\left(i\left(\frac{\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / x}}{\tau_{x}}\right)\right) \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{3} l\right), \quad 0 \leqslant|j| \leqslant l .
$$

(For $j=0$, and $l$ large enough, this is trivial.) As $\varepsilon<\exp \left(-C_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
(-1)^{j} S_{m}\left(i\left(\frac{\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / x}}{\tau_{x}}\right)\right)>0, \quad 0 \leqslant|j| \leqslant l .
$$

So, $S_{m}$ has zeros $\pm i y_{j} / \tau_{x}$, where for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant l, y_{j}^{\chi} \in\left[j-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right]$.
Next, we consider the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(z):=\left(1+\left(\tau_{x} z\right)^{2}\right) S_{n-2}^{2}(z) \in \mathscr{P}_{2 n-2}, \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. (a) $\exists C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} Q_{n}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{1}, \quad n \geqslant 1 ;  \tag{4.11}\\
Q_{n}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) \sim 1, \quad|x| \leqslant\left(C_{2} n\right)^{1 / x}, n \geqslant 1 . \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

(b) We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}\left(a_{n} \frac{1}{2}\left[z+\frac{1}{z}\right]\right)=h_{n}(z) \overline{h_{n}(1 / \bar{z})}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n-1}, h_{n}(0)>0$ and has all its zeros in $\{z:|z|>1\}$. Moreover, $h_{n}$ has double zeros at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm i \xi_{k}= \pm i\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\tau_{x} a_{n}}+\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\tau_{x} a_{n}}\right)^{2}}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant\langle\eta n\rangle \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ are as in the previous lemma (for $m=n-2$ ); $h_{n}$ has simple zeros at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm i \xi_{0}= \pm i\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{x} a_{n}}+\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{x} a_{n}}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

All other zeros of $h_{n}$ lie in $\{z:|z| \geqslant 1+C\}$, some $C$ independent of $n$.
Proof. (a) This follows easily from (4.5), (4.8), and (4.10).
(b) We note that for $z=e^{i t}$,

$$
Q_{n}\left(a_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]\right)=Q_{n}\left(a_{n} \cos \theta\right)>0 .
$$

Then the decomposition (4.13) is well known [23, p. 3]. We see that

$$
a_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]= \pm i y_{k} / \tau_{\alpha}
$$

is equivalent to (for $|z|>1$ )

$$
z= \pm i \xi_{k}= \pm i\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\tau_{\alpha} a_{n}}+\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\tau_{\alpha} a_{n}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

It is easily seen that the double zero of $Q_{n}$ leads to a double zero of $h_{n}$. Similarly, we may discuss the simple zeros of $Q_{n}$ at $\pm i / \tau_{\alpha}$.

Finally, the map

$$
w=\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{1}{z}\right) \Leftrightarrow z=w+\sqrt{w^{2}-1}
$$

maps circles with centre 0 in the $z$-plane onto ellipses with foci at $\pm 1$ in the $w$-plane. For suitable $\sigma$ large enough, we can fit an ellipse with foci at $\pm 1$ inside the rectangle with vertices at $\pm \sigma\langle\eta n\rangle^{1 / x} / a_{n} \pm i\langle\eta n\rangle^{1 / x} / a_{n}$, and having the same intercepts on the real and imaginary axes. Here

$$
\langle\eta n\rangle^{1 / x} / a_{n} \geqslant C_{1}>0 .
$$

As the only zeros of $Q_{n}\left(a_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}(z+1 / z)\right]\right)$ inside this ellipse are the simple/ double zeros listed above, all other zeros outside this ellipse correspond to zeros of $h_{n}$ in $\{z:|z| \geqslant 1+C\}$, with $C>0$ independent of $n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(a) for the range $|x|<\varepsilon a_{n}$. Now by the infinitefinite range inequality Lemma 3.1, and then by Lemma 4.3, we have for $|x| \leqslant\left(C_{1} n\right)^{1 / x}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{n+1}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right) / W_{x}^{2}(x) \\
& \leqslant C_{2} \inf _{P \in: A_{n}} \int_{-a_{n}}^{a_{n}}\left(P W_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(t) d t /\left(P W_{x}\right)^{2}(x) \\
& \leqslant C_{3} \inf _{P \in: P_{n}} \int_{-a_{n}}^{a_{n}} P^{2}(t) Q_{n}^{1}(t) d t /\left(P^{2}(x) Q_{n}^{-1}(x)\right) \\
& \leqslant C_{4} a_{n} \inf _{R \in: n_{n}} \int_{1}^{1} R^{2}(t)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times Q_{n}^{-1}\left(a_{n} t\right) d t /\left(R^{2}\left(x / a_{n}\right) Q_{n}^{-1}(x)\right) \\
&= C_{4} a_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left(w_{n}, x / a_{n}\right) Q_{n}(x), \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(x):=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} Q_{n}^{-1}\left(a_{n} x\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of $h_{n}$ in the previous lemma. It is known [23, p. 322; 7, p.363] that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x / a_{n}=\cos \phi ; \quad \phi \in[0, \pi] ; \quad z=e^{i \phi} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi \lambda_{n+1}^{-1} & \left(w_{n}, x\right)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} w_{n}(x) \\
= & n+\frac{1}{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left(z h_{n}^{\prime}(z) / h_{n}(z)\right)+(2 \sin \phi)^{-1} \operatorname{Im}\left(z^{2 n+1} \overline{h_{n}(z)} / h_{n}(z)\right) \\
& =n-\operatorname{Re}\left(z h_{n}^{\prime}(z) / h_{n}(z)\right)+O(1) \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for $|x| / a_{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, say. We now estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (4.19). We can write (recall (4.14), (4.15))

$$
h_{n}(z)=c\left(z^{2}-\left(i \xi_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\langle\eta n\rangle}\left(z^{2}-\left(i \xi_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} g_{n}(z)
$$

where $g_{n}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $<n$ with all its zeros in $\{z:|z| \geqslant 1+C\}$. Let us suppose that in (4.18),

$$
\phi \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right],
$$

so that $x \geqslant 0$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z h_{n}^{\prime}(z) / h_{n}(z)= & -z\left[\frac{1}{z-i \xi_{0}}+\frac{1}{z+i \xi_{0}}\right] \\
& -2 z \sum_{j=1}^{\langle n n\rangle}\left[\frac{1}{z-i \xi_{j}}+\frac{1}{z+i \xi_{j}}\right]-z \sum_{\left|\xi_{j}\right| \geqslant 1+c} \frac{1}{z-i \xi_{j}} \\
= & -2 z \sum_{j=0}^{\langle n n\rangle}\left[\frac{1}{z-i \xi_{j}}+\frac{1}{z+i \xi_{j}}\right]+O(n),
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly for such $x$. Here and in the sequel \# means that the term for $j=0$ is multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-z\left[\frac{1}{z-i \xi_{j}}+\frac{1}{z+i \xi_{j}}\right]\right\} & =\frac{\xi_{j} \sin \phi-1}{1-2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\zeta_{j}^{2}}+\frac{-\xi_{j} \sin \phi-1}{1+2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\xi_{j}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\xi_{j} \sin \phi-1}{1-2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\xi_{j}^{2}}+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\sin \phi \geqslant 0$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-z h_{n}^{\prime}(z) / h_{n}(z)\right\} & =2 \sum_{j=0}^{\langle n n\rangle} \frac{\xi_{j} \sin \phi-1}{1-2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\xi_{j}^{2}}+O(n) \\
& =2 \sin \phi \sum_{1}+2(\sin \phi-1) \sum_{2}+O(n), \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1} & :=\sum_{j=0}^{\langle\eta n\rangle} \frac{\xi_{j}-1}{1-2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\xi_{j}^{2}} \\
\Sigma_{2} & :=\sum_{j=0}^{\langle\eta n\rangle} \frac{1}{1-2 \xi_{j} \sin \phi+\xi_{j}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
1-\sin \phi \sim \cos ^{2} \phi=\left(x / a_{n}\right)^{2} \sim\left(x / n^{1 / x}\right)^{2}
$$

Also, since

$$
\left(w+\sqrt{1+w^{2}}\right)-1 \sim w, \quad w \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

we have (see (4.9), (4.14))

$$
\xi_{j}-1 \sim \frac{y_{j}}{\tau_{x} a_{n}} \sim\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant\langle\eta n\rangle .
$$

The $\sim$ holds uniformly in $j$ and $n$. Further, by (4.15),

$$
\xi_{0}-1 \sim \frac{1}{a_{n}} \sim\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 / x}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{1}= & \sum_{j=0}^{\langle\eta n\rangle} \frac{\xi_{j}-1}{\left(\xi_{j}-1\right)^{2}+2 \xi_{j}(1-\sin \phi)} \\
& \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\langle\eta n\rangle} \frac{(j / n)^{1 / \alpha}}{(j / n)^{2 / \alpha}+\left(x / n^{1 / x}\right)^{2}} \\
& \sim n^{1 / x} \int_{0}^{\eta / n} \frac{u^{1 / x}}{u^{2 / x}+x^{* 2}} d u,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{*}:=\max \{1, x\}$. The substitution $u=\left(x^{*}\right)^{x} s$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{1} & \sim n^{1 / x}\left(x^{*}\right)^{x-1} \int_{0}^{\eta n /\left(x^{*}\right)^{x}} \frac{s^{1 / x}}{s^{2 / x}+1} d s \\
& \sim n^{1 / x} \begin{cases}\log \left(n / x^{*}\right), & x=1, \\
\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha-1}, & x<1,\end{cases} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

if $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, some small enough $\varepsilon>0$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{2}= & \sum_{j=0}^{\langle n n\rangle} \frac{1}{\left(\xi_{j}-1\right)^{2}+2 \xi_{j}(1-\sin \phi)} \\
& \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\langle n n\rangle} \frac{1}{(j / n)^{2 / \alpha}+\left(x / n^{1 / \alpha}\right)^{2}} \\
& \sim n^{2 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{n n} \frac{1}{u^{2 / \alpha}+\left(x^{*}\right)^{2}} d x \\
= & n^{2 / \alpha}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha-2} \int_{0}^{n n /\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha}} \frac{d s}{s^{2 / \alpha}+1} \sim n^{2 / \alpha}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha-2} . \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

So combining (4.20), (4.21), and (4.22), we have for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-z h_{n}^{\prime}(z) / h_{n}(z)\right\} & \geqslant \sum_{1}-2\left(\frac{x}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \sum_{2}+O(n) \\
& \geqslant C_{1} n^{1 / x}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\log \left(n / x^{*}\right), & \alpha=1 \\
\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha-1}, & \alpha<1
\end{array}\right\}-C_{2}\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha}+O(n) \\
& \geqslant C_{3} a_{n} \begin{cases}\log \left(n / x^{*}\right), & \alpha=1, \\
\left(x^{*}\right)^{\alpha-1}, & \alpha<1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is small enough. Substituting this estimate into (4.19) gives

$$
\pi \lambda_{n+1}^{-1}\left(w_{n}, x / a_{n}\right) Q_{n}^{-1}(x) \geqslant C_{4} a_{n} \begin{cases}\log \left(n / x^{*}\right), & x=1 \\ \left(x^{*}\right)^{x-1}, & x<1 .\end{cases}
$$

Finally, (4.16) gives for $x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]$

$$
\lambda_{n+1}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) / W_{x}^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{5} \begin{cases}\left(\log \left(n / x^{*}\right)\right)^{-1}, & \alpha=1 \\ \left(x^{*}\right)^{1-x}, & \alpha<1\end{cases}
$$

The corresponding lower bound is a special case of Theorem 1.7 in [10].

## 5. Zeros of Orthogonal Polynomials

We begin with the
Proof of Corollary 1.2(a). We use the well known formula [6]

$$
x_{1 n}=\sup _{\substack{P \in \notin \neq n-2 \\ P \geqslant 0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x P(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) d x / \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} P(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) d x,
$$

which is an easy consequence of the Gauss quadrature formula. Then

$$
a_{n}-x_{1 n}=\inf _{\substack{P \in \xi_{p \rightarrow n-2} \\ P \geqslant 0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}-x\right) P(x) W_{\alpha}^{2}(x) d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(x) W_{\alpha}^{2}(x) d x .
$$

Since $a_{2 n}$ for $W_{\alpha}^{2}$ is $a_{n}$ for $W_{x}$, we can use Lemma 3.1 to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}-x_{1 n} \leqslant C \inf _{\substack{P \in \neq n-2 \\ P \geqslant 0}} \int_{-a_{n}}^{a_{n}}\left(a_{n}-x\right) P(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) d x / \int_{-a_{n}}^{a_{n}} P(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) d x . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we set

$$
m:=\left\langle n^{1 / 3} / 2\right\rangle,
$$

and

$$
P(x):=\lambda_{n-2 m}^{-1}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x\right) l_{l m}^{4}\left(a_{n}^{-1} x\right),
$$

where $l_{1 m}$ is the fundamental polynomial of Lagrange interpolation of degree $m$ for interpolation at the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomial
$T_{m}(x)$, corresponding to the largest zero of $T_{m}(x)$. Note that by the first part of Theorem 1.1,

$$
\lambda_{n-2 m}^{-1}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right) W_{\alpha}^{2}(x) \sim \Lambda_{n}(x)^{-1}
$$

for $|x| \leqslant a_{n}$, since (cf. (1.5))

$$
a_{n} / a_{n-m}=1+O\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)
$$

Then we obtain from (5.1) and this estimate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}-x_{1 n} \leqslant C_{1} a_{n} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-s) l_{1 m}^{4}(s) A_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(a_{n} s\right) d s / \int_{-1}^{1} l_{1 m}^{4}(s) A_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(a_{n} s\right) d s \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is known that for some $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ (see, for example, [10, p. 531])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|l_{1 m}(s)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{1}}{m^{2}\left|s-x_{1 m}^{*}\right|} ; \quad\left|l_{1 m}(s)\right| \leqslant C_{1}, s \in[-1,1] ; m \geqslant 1 \\
& l_{1 m}(s) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|s-x_{1 m}^{*}\right| \leqslant C_{2} m^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $x_{1 m}^{*}:=\cos (\pi / 2 m)$ denotes the largest zero of $T_{m}(x)$. We turn to the estimation of the integrals in (5.2). We write for $k=0,1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^{1}(1-s)^{k} l_{1 m}^{4}(s) A_{n}^{-1}\left(a_{n} s\right) d s \\
&= {\left[\int_{-1}^{1 / 2}+\int_{1 / 2}^{x_{1 m}^{*} \cdots c_{2} m^{-2}}+\int_{x_{1 m}^{*}-c_{2 m} x_{1 m}^{*}+c_{2} m^{-2}}^{x_{2}}+\int_{x_{1 m}^{*}+c_{2} m}^{1} 2\right] } \\
& \times(1-s)^{k} l_{1 m}^{4}(s) A_{n}^{-1}\left(a_{n} s\right) d s \\
&= I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates for $l_{1 m}$ and (1.7), (1.8) readily yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} \leqslant & C_{3} m^{-8} n^{1-1 / x} \log n \\
I_{2} \leqslant & C_{4} m^{-8} n^{1-1 / x} \int_{1 / 2}^{x_{1 m}^{*}-C_{2} m^{-2}}\left|s-x_{1 m}^{*}\right|^{-4}(1-s)^{k+1 / 2} d s \\
& \left(\text { recall that } n^{-2 / 3} \sim m^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C_{5} m^{-8} n^{1-1 / x} \int_{C_{2} m^{-2}}^{1} u^{-4}\left(u+1-x_{1 m}^{*}\right)^{k+1 / 2} d u \\
& \leqslant C_{6} n^{1 \cdots 1 / x} m^{2 k-3} \int_{C_{2}}^{\alpha} w^{-4}(w+1)^{k+1 / 2} d w \\
& \leqslant C_{7} n^{1-1 / x} m^{-2 k-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \sim n^{1-1 / x} \int_{x_{m}^{*}-C_{2} m^{-2}}^{x_{1}^{*}+c_{2}^{m-2}}(1-s)^{k+1 / 2} d s \\
& \sim n^{1-1 / x}\left(m^{-2}\right)^{k+3 / 2}=n^{1-1 / x} m^{-2 k-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we similarly deduce that

$$
I_{4} \leqslant C_{8} n^{1-1 / \alpha} m^{-2 k-3} .
$$

So, combining these estimates, we have shown that for $k=0,1$,

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}(1-s)^{k} l_{1 m}^{4}(s) \Lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(a_{n} s\right) d s \sim n^{1-1 / x} m^{-2 k-3}
$$

Then from (5.2), we obtain

$$
a_{n}-x_{1 n} \leqslant C_{9} a_{n} m^{2} \sim a_{n} n^{-2 / 3}
$$

For the converse inequality, we note that if $K>0$ is large enough, then for $n$ large enough and $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, we have

$$
\int_{|x| \geqslant a_{n}(1+K n-2 ; 3 \mid}\left|R W_{\alpha}^{2}\right|(x) d x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\left.|x| \leqslant a_{n} \mid 1+K n-2 ; 3\right)}\left|R W_{x}^{2}\right|(x) d x
$$

This follows directly from Theorem 1.8 in [10, p. 469] and (7.14), (10.6) in [10, p. 486, p. 513]. Hence for $P \in \mathscr{P}_{2 n-2}$, with $P \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} & {\left[a_{n}\left(1+K n^{-2 / 3}\right)-x\right]\left(P W_{x}^{2}\right)(x) d x } \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leqslant a_{n}(1+K n 2 ; 3}\left[a_{n}\left(1+K n^{-2 / 3}\right)-x\right]\left(P W_{x}^{2}\right)(x) d x \\
& \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n}(1 & \left.+K n^{-2 / 3}\right)-x_{1 n} \\
& \left.=\inf _{\substack{P \in \notin \ell_{n-2} \\
P \geqslant 0}}^{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}\left[a_{n}\left(1+K n^{-2 / 3}\right)-x\right]\left(P W_{\alpha}^{2}\right)(x) d x /\right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(P W_{x}^{2}\right)(x) d x \\
& \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2(b). Let $H_{\alpha}$ be the entire function defined at (4.4) and recall (4.5). Also, define the Christoffel numbers

$$
\lambda_{j n}:=\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}^{2}, x_{j n}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n .
$$

Now we use the Posse-Markov-Stieltjes inequalities in the form given in [8, p. 89]: For $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j n} H_{x}\left(x_{j n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{k:\left|x_{k n}\right|<\left|x_{j}-1, n\right|} \lambda_{k n} H_{x}\left(x_{k n}\right)-\sum_{k:\left|x_{k n}\right|<\left|x_{j n}\right|} \lambda_{k n} H_{x}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{-x_{j-1, n}}^{x_{j-1 . n}}-\int_{\substack{x_{j+1 . n} \\
x_{j+1, n}}} H_{x}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) d t\right. \\
& =\int_{x_{j+1 . n}}^{x_{j-1 . n}} H_{x}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) d t . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we similarly obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{j n} H_{x}\left(x_{j n}\right)+\lambda_{j+1, n} H_{x}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{k:\left|x_{k n}\right|<\mid x_{j-1, n \mid}} \lambda_{k n} H_{x}\left(x_{k n}\right)-\sum_{k:\left|x_{k n}\right|<\mid x_{j+1, n \mid}} \lambda_{k n} H_{x}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{-x_{j n}}^{x_{m n}}-\int_{-x_{j+1, n}}^{x_{j+1, n}}\right] H_{x}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\int_{x_{j+1, n}}^{x_{j n}} H_{x}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) d t . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (4.5), (5.3), and (5.4) yield

$$
\lambda_{j n} W_{z}^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right) \leqslant C_{1}\left(x_{j-1, n}-x_{j+1, n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{j n} W_{x}^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)+\dot{\lambda}_{j+1, n} W_{x}^{-2}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \geqslant C_{2}\left(x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}\right)
$$

Then Theorem 1.1 enables us to conclude that

$$
x_{j-1, n}-x_{j+1, n} \geqslant C_{3} A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \leqslant C_{4}\left\{A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)+A_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)\right\} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will be complete if we can show that uniformly for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim A_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $x_{j+1, n} \geqslant 0$, and $0 \leqslant x_{j n} \leqslant a_{n} / 2$, then for $0<\alpha<1$, (1.7) and (5.5) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leqslant \frac{1+x_{j n}}{1+x_{j+1, n}} \leqslant 1+C_{4} \frac{\Lambda_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)+A_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)}{1+x_{j+1, n}} \\
& \leqslant C_{5}+C_{4}\left(1+x_{j n}\right)^{x} \frac{1+x_{j n}}{1+x_{j+1, n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (5.6) follows. If $\alpha=1,(1.7)$ and (5.5) show that

$$
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \leqslant C_{6},
$$

and then again, we obtain (5.6).
Next, if $x_{j+1, n} \geqslant 0$, and $a_{n} / 2 \leqslant x_{j n} \leqslant a_{n}\left(1-n^{2 / 3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \leqslant \frac{1-x_{j+1, n} / a_{n}}{1-x_{j n} / a_{n}}=1+\frac{x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}}{a_{n}\left(1-x_{j n} / a_{n}\right)} \\
& =1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\left(1-x_{j n} / a_{n}\right)^{-3 / 2}\right)=O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand if $x_{j n} \geqslant a_{n}\left(1-n^{-2 / 3}\right)$, then (5.5) and Corollary 1.2(a) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1-\frac{x_{j+1, n}}{a_{n}}\right| & \leqslant\left|1-\frac{x_{j n}}{a_{n}}\right|+\frac{x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}}{a_{n}} \\
& \leqslant C_{7} n^{-2,3}+C_{7} \frac{1}{n} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\frac{x_{j+1, n}}{a_{n}}\right\}^{-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant C_{8} n^{-2 / 3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have thus shown that, for $x_{j n} \geqslant a_{n} / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\frac{\left|x_{j n}\right|}{a_{n}}\right\} \sim \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\frac{\left|x_{j+1} \cdot n\right|}{a_{n}}\right\} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have (5.6) uniformly in $j$ and $n$ such that $x_{i+1, n} \geqslant 0$. The proof of (5.6) for the remaining cases is similar.

## 6. Bounds for Orthogonal Polynomials

In this section, we prove Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4. Our method for finding upper bounds for orthogonal polynomials is similar to that in [10], but we have been unable to provide complete results as in [10] because of the difficulty of estimating a certain function.

We shall need the Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K_{n}(x, t):=K_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x, t\right):=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_{j}(x) p_{j}(t) \\
=\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{p_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(t)-p_{n-1}(x) p_{n}(t)}{x-t} \tag{6.1}
\end{array}
$$

(Recall that we abbreviate $p_{n}(x)=p_{n}\left(W_{x}^{2}, x\right)$.) From this it follows by setting $x=t=x_{j n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{j n}^{1}=\frac{\gamma_{n}}{\gamma_{n}} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define, as in $[10,15]$, with $Q(x):=|x|^{x}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}(x) & :=2 \frac{\gamma_{n} 1}{\gamma_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)-Q^{\prime}(t)}{x-t} d t \\
& =2 \frac{\gamma_{n} 1}{\gamma_{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(t) W_{x}^{2}(t) \hat{Q}(x, t) d t \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where if $x, t \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}(x, t):=\frac{x Q^{\prime}(x)-t Q^{\prime}(t)}{x^{2}-t^{2}}=\alpha \frac{x^{x}-t^{x}}{x^{2}-t^{2}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that [15, Thm. 3,2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)=A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence ( 6.2 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j n}^{-1}=\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n, 1}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimation of $A_{n}(x)$ plays a major role:
Lemma 6.1. Uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $0<x \leqslant 2 a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x) / \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sim x^{x-2} \int_{0}^{\min \left\{x_{\cdot} \alpha_{n}\right\}}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) d t+\int_{\min \left\{x, a_{n}\right\}}^{a_{n}}\left(p_{n} W_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(t) t^{\alpha-2} d t \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} a_{n}^{x-2} \leqslant A_{n}(x) \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \leqslant C_{2} x^{\alpha-2} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is readily seen that $\hat{Q}(x, t)$ defined by (6.4) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}(x, t) \sim \max \{t, x\}^{\alpha \sim 2} \quad \text { uniformly for } t, x \in(0, \infty) . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we see that for $x \in\left(0,2 a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{a_{n}}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) \hat{Q}(x, t) d t \sim x^{x-2} \int_{0}^{\min \left\{x, u_{n}\right\}}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\min \left\{x, a_{n} ;\right.}^{a_{n}}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) t^{x-2} d t \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that a lower bound for the last right-hand side is

$$
\left(2 a_{n}\right)^{x-2} \int_{0}^{a_{n}}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) d t \sim a_{n}^{x-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(t) d t=a_{n}^{x-2}
$$

in view of the evenness of $\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}$ and the infinite-finite range inequality Lemma 3.1. Next,

$$
\int_{a_{n}}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(t) \hat{Q}(x, t) d t \sim \int_{u_{n}}^{x}\left(p_{n} W_{\alpha}\right)^{2}(t) t^{\alpha-2} d t \leqslant a_{n}^{x-2}
$$

Then (6.7) follows from (6.3), (6.10), and this last inequality. Finally, (6.8) is immediate.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. First note the following consequence of the Christoffel-Darboux formula:

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x)=K_{n}^{2}\left(x, x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} /\left[\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right]^{2} .
$$

Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (6.6) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}^{2}(x) & \leqslant \lambda_{n}^{-1}(x) \lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} /\left[\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\lambda_{n}^{-1}(x)\left[A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) / \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}}\right]\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} . \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $x \geqslant 0$ and $x_{k n}$ is the zero of $p_{n}(x)$ closest to $x$, then by Corollary 1.2 and (5.6), we have

$$
\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} \leqslant C_{1} \Lambda_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right) \leqslant C_{2} \Lambda_{n}^{2}(x) .
$$

Together with Theorem 1.1(a) and Corollary 1.2, this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}^{2}(x) W_{x}^{2}(x) & \leqslant C_{3} A_{n}(x)\left[A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}}\right]  \tag{6.12}\\
& \leqslant C_{4} A_{n}(x) x_{k n}^{x-2} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

by (6.8). Fix $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. We consider two ranges of $x$ :
I. $x \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$. Here from (1.7),

$$
A_{n}(x) \sim x^{1} \quad{ }^{x},
$$

so (6.13) becomes

$$
\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{s} / x \sim a_{n}{ }^{1},
$$

as required.
II. $x \in\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{n}, a_{n}\right]$. Here from (1.8) and (6.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(x) & \left.\leqslant C_{6} n^{1 / x-1} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-|x| / a_{n}\right\}\right\}^{1 / 2} a_{n}^{x} \\
& \leqslant C_{7} a_{n}{ }^{2} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-|x| / a_{n}\right\}^{-1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Let $0<\alpha<1$. Note that if $x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]$ and we choose $x_{k n}$ to be the closest zero of $p_{n}$ on the right of $x$, we have $x_{k n} \sim 1+x$ because of the spacing (1.13) of the zeros. Then (6.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{1} A_{n}(x)(1+x)^{x} 2 \leqslant C_{2} /(1+x), \quad x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right] . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha=1$, (6.13) similarly becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{n} W_{x}\right)^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{3}\left(\log \frac{\pi n}{1+x}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{\log n}+x\right)^{\prime}, \quad x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right] . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

At least for $\alpha=1$, we can improve (6.15) a little:
Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and define

$$
h_{n}(x):=a_{n} x^{\beta}\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{2}(x) .
$$

From (6.7), we obtain for $x \leqslant a_{n} / 2$ (recall that $\alpha=1$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(x) & \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \\
\leqslant & C_{1}\left[x^{-1} a_{n}^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} h_{n}(t) t^{-\beta} d t+a_{n}^{-1} \int_{x}^{u_{n} / 2} h_{n}(t) t^{-1-\beta} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{a_{n} / 2}^{a_{n}}\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{2}(t) t^{-1} d t\right] \\
\leqslant & C_{2}\left[x^{-1} a_{n}^{-1} x^{1 \cdots \beta}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{x}\left[0, a_{n} / 2\right]}+a_{n}^{-1} x^{-\beta}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L,\left[0, a_{n} / 2\right]}+a_{n}^{-1}\right] \\
& <C_{3} a_{n}^{1}\left(x^{-\beta}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{x}\left[0, a_{n} / 2\right]}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain from (6.12), if we choose $x_{k n}$ to the right of $x$, that for $x \leqslant a_{n} / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n}(x) & \leqslant C_{4}\left[\log \frac{\pi n}{1+x}\right]^{-1}\left(\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{x}\left[0, \alpha_{n} / 2\right]}+x^{\beta}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{,}\left[0, u_{n} / 2\right]}+a_{n}^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

if $x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]$, and $\varepsilon$ is small enough. Then

$$
\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{x},\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L \times\left[0, a_{n} / 2\right]}+a_{n}^{\beta} .
$$

Recall, from our bounds in Corollary 1.4, that

$$
\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{1, x, f, a_{n}, u_{n}, 21} \leqslant C_{5} a_{n}^{\beta} .
$$

Then we deduce that

$$
\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L,\left[0, c a_{n}\right]} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{L_{x}\left[0 . k u_{n}\right]}+C_{6} a_{n}^{\beta},
$$

and hence that

$$
\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{2}(x) \leqslant C_{7} a_{n}^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{x}\right)^{n}, \quad x \in\left[0, \varepsilon a_{n}\right] .
$$

Since $\beta>0$ is arbitrary, we deduce that given $\delta>0$,

$$
\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{2}(x)<C_{8} a_{n}^{1} n^{\delta}, \quad x \in\left[a_{n}^{-1}, \varepsilon a_{n}\right] .
$$

To fill in the interval $\left[0, a_{n}^{-1}\right]$, we use the bound

$$
\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{\left.L_{+1}(t)\right)}^{2} \leqslant C_{9} \log n,
$$

which is an easy consequence of the Christoffel function estimates of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, we need the Markov inequality [20, 9],

$$
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W_{1}\right\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{B})} \leqslant C_{10} \log n\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{L,(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant C_{11}(\log n)^{3 / 2} .
$$

Then, given $x \in\left[0, a_{n}^{-1}\right]$, we deduce that for some $\xi \in\left[x, a_{n}^{-1}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(x) & =p_{n}\left(a_{n}^{-1}\right)+p_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-a_{n}{ }^{1}\right)=O\left(a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{\delta}\right)+O(\log n)^{3 / 2} \cdot O\left(a_{n}^{-1}\right) \\
& =O\left(a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{\delta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\delta$ is small enough. We have shown that for any given $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{L_{1}\left[0, c c_{n}\right]} \leqslant C_{12} a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{\delta} . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, our upper bound in Corollary 1.4 gives

$$
\left|p_{n} W_{1}\right|(x) \leqslant C_{13} a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{1 / 6},|x| \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1-n^{-2 / 3}\right)\right] .
$$

The infinite-finite range inequality Lemma 3.1 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{L,,(4)} \leqslant C_{14} a_{n}^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 6} . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the other direction, we use (6.5), (6.6), which give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}_{i n}^{-1} W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) & =\left[A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) / \frac{\gamma_{n} 1}{\gamma_{n}}\right]^{-1}\left(p_{n}^{\prime} W_{1}\right)^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& =\left[A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) / \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}}\right]^{-1}\left(\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then applying our estimates of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.1 gives for $x_{j n} \geqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)^{2} \sim a_{n}^{-1} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\left|x_{j n}\right| / a_{n}\right\}^{1 / 2} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that $a_{n} \sim n$.) Applying the Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 1.3 in [9, p. 1067] gives

$$
\left|\left(p_{n} W_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right| \leqslant C_{15} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\left|x_{j n}\right| / a_{n}\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{B})} .
$$

Combining this with (6.18), setting $j=1$, and using Corollary 1.2(a) give

$$
\left\|p_{n} W_{1}\right\|_{L_{x}(\mathbb{R})} \geqslant C_{16} a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{1 / 6} .
$$

Together with (6.17), this gives the result.
Proof of Corollary 1.3. First, we remark that proceeding exactly as before (6.18) gives for $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$, and $x_{j n} \geqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a_{n}}{n}\left|p_{n}^{\prime} W_{\alpha}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|= & \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left|\left(p_{n} W_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right| \\
& \sim a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \max \left\{n^{-2 / 3}, 1-\left|x_{j n}\right| / a_{n}\right\}^{+1 / 4} \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it is known [12] that

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sim a_{n}
$$

so from (6.8),

$$
A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim a_{n}^{x-1} \sim n / a_{n}
$$

for this range of $j$. Then (6.5) gives

$$
\left|p_{n \cdot \mid} W_{x}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left|p_{n}^{\prime} W_{\alpha}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)
$$

and this completes the proof of the corollary.
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